G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 166

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Papers Filed.

Month March 1907

SUBJECT.

Petition ~~about~~ from Venkata Ramana Pois & others of Nittoor Amanikere Village Gubbi Taluk, Complaining the irregularities during the Conducting of the Sri Kalleshwara Swamy Temple at Pura in the Gubbi Taluk and the ~~Govt~~ endorsement granted to the petitioners That nothing can be decided by Govt in the matter.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

1907.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscellaneous)

NOTES.

File No. 166 07

FILE HEADING.

Irregularities during the Rathotsava held in the year Plavanga (1907-8) of the Sri Kalleswara Swamy Temple at Pura in the Gubbi Taluk.

From

Venkatavaramana Jois and others.

No. Petition Dated 20th and 28th March Received 1908

Diary No. 3827/3961	Enclos. –	Spare Copies –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complains of irregularities during the car-festival.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl 2. To, The Dy. Commr, Tumkur Distt
G. M. no. 4444 dt 14-4-08
Forwards Sl 1 for report.

Sl 3 From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt
Letter no 294 dt 2-2-1911

NOTES—First sheet

GPB--30,000 3-1-07

(2)

Revenue riat. | File No. 166 of 19 0

Serial No.

Submits report called for in ¶ 1

The temple of Sri Kalleswara Swamy at Para in the Gubbi Tq, is a muzrai instn endowed with land inams and cash grant from Govt.

Venkatanarana Jois and others pet^d Govt complaining that the Lingayet Archaks Conducted Contrary to manual the Rathotsava during the year Plavanga (1904-8), though it was usual for the Brahmins to conduct all the preliminaries incidental to the Rathotsava etc. The petition having been forwarded, the Dy Commr has submitted a report with records.

(The petition is reported to have been misland in the Tq office)

Hag 3) In their mahazar dated 11th April 1908, the Villagers of Para alleged that the presence of the Brahmins from Villur, a place at a distance of about a mile from Para, was not secured on account of Plague at the place during the Rathotsava and that there was no such manne also.

Hag 4) The Archaks have admitted that their conducting the Rathotsava within

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Serial No.

the Brahmins taking part in it is a mistake and begged to be excused the Sub Divl officer has recommended that the Archaks may be fined Rs 10/- each as a sort of penalty in order to meet the expenses of Samprokshanam

Hag X
No 347 Dy 30 10 1910

The Dy. Commr adds that a notification regarding the appointment of the Dharmadarsis to this Inam as recommended by the Sub-Divl officer will issue separately if found necessary.

Submitted for orders

6/11/1911 The original petn is now lost like other petns in this Inam file it must have worked in the celebration of Rathotsavam in 1907 without the help of Brahmins

The disputed Archaks admit that it is usual for Brahmins to perform Agamic duties during Rathotsavam and that in 1907 on account of plague the office bearing Brahmins did not attend officiate at the Rathotsavam

The Brahmins have said that in 1907 this ceremony was performed by officiating Archaks.

The same must have occurred in 1910 also

In this case however there is a distinction to be

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1-12 8 10

Serial No.

Mais complacin of the non-performance of Santhārpani. They say the prijari Were meeting the cost of it out of the income of the Inam lands. I am not quite sure if this is a matter in which Govt. should interfere and direct the prijari to bear the cost of Santarpani or feeding the Brahmins.

I do not also think that the Archaks should be fined for performing Rathotsava in 1908 without the aid of Brahmins.

It is said that in Chitrambharam or Srirangam there was a similar complaint and the Archaks executed a muchalika to forfeit Rs. 500 if they performed Rathotsava without Brahmins. These records are not now forthcoming.

Draft of an ent. is submitted for approval or other order.

Submitted by Secy to Board
Abraham esqr
7/3/1911

No 12/37/11

1402

Draft amended & Appd
15/3/11 Secy
4/3/1911

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

No 4444 En 2 Dy 14/4/08

Muz 1-166-07-2

F-4445 dt

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition, No. 3827, 3961 dated 20, 28th March 08 received at this Office from Venkatanarayana Jois and certain others complaining of certain irregularities during the recent car-festival of Sri Kalleswara Swamy at Sura, Gubbi Taluk,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt for favour of enquiry and report

By Order,

Dft 11/4/08

BANGALORE, 190. } Secretary, Muzrai Department.

11/4/08

Note in the petn

GP —1500—20 10 07

To — AA/ 37/WP/ wy rcvd 23/4/09 Dowry D1240 SC

The Superintendent of Police
Mullaitivu F-166-07-3
Mullaitivu Department in Mullaitivu
Kanya

Most Respected Sir,

We the undersigned most humbly and respectfully beg to lay the following few lines before your Honors Kind Consideration and favorable orders.

There is a Muraiyan temple by name Kallemadu Devane in the village of Vittar Gabbi Dalukk. The temple was maintained by the Devane Land granted by the Government and the income derived by the Devotees Pujarees.

2. The inhabitants of the village of Vittar are Lingayats and the Pujaree of this temple is the Patel of the village and his Cochara and therefore the Devane Land is in the enjoyment of the Pujarees.

3. As usual the Car festival of this temple will take place every year in the month of Phalguna Sudha Dasame. This festival, as usual, will be Conducted by the Royal Community only according to Agama. At this time Ganeradhana is also

take place out of
Inam lands.

4. Such being the manul, since two years the Pujaries were not pleased to make or celebrate the Samara-dhan. and also intentionally prohibited the performance of Rathosava by the Brahmin Community. when this was brought to your Honors notice Your Honor was graciously pleased to attach the Inam lands. After this the Pujaries were deposed before the Amildar that they will strictly adher to the manul and allow the Brahmins to perform the Ratho Sosa shava and also make the Samaradhana. Accordingly the Car festival was Conducted by Narasimha Das Sri and Venkatanarya Jogo.

5. Again, in the year previous the Pujaries were prohibited to perform-ance of Ratho shava by Brahmins. We therefore, Constrained to bring this matter to your Honors notice by a Memorial dated 20th March 1908. In answer to this memorial, No 4445 dated

April 1908 Your Honor was pleased to minute that this petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner, Tamluk District, for disposal. But nothing has been done nor to us by the Deputy Commissioner, as

6. In this year i.e. Kalabda, at the time of Rathotsava, the P[illegible] were not pleased to make the Samaradhana and therefor the Devotees who came from a long dist were suffered very much for want of their food The inhabitents their made neg arrangements to ~~supply~~ feed them

7. We therefore, most respectfully beg to request that your Honor will be pleased to order to appoint named below Dharmadarsees, to supervise the work of the temple and thereby to allow the temple Sava will be conducted regularly and least prejudice to the mainl. and thereby ~~relieve the~~ put a stop to the mis-management of the Temple.

For which act of justice we shall ever pray.

Most

Your - most obedient and
petitioners

In the Key:

1. C. N. Venkatachanda (Correspondence.
Shanbhogue Nittur Amaniker
2. Seenappa. Nittur Shanbhogue
3 Venkata Krishnappa, Son of Shambhatta.
4. Nanjappa Setty of Nittur
5. P. Nanjegowda of Pura, Nittur Hobli

D 13

Misc. 166-04-3

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Tumkur.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Dated 2nd February 1911.

Despatched 4th --do--

Received

General No. 257

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Reports re the irregularity complained of in the conduct of the Rathotsavam at Pura in the Gubbi Taluk, by the Lingayet Archaks themselves against mamul conducting the preliminaries also, &c.,

Continue note.

7/2/911

GPB—70 reams—27-12 09

Office of the

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur

Dated Tumkur, 2nd February

From,

K. Doraswami Iyer Esqr.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments (Muzrai),

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo No.4444 dated 14th April 1908, forwarding for enquiry and report petitions from Venkataramana Jois and others complaining of certain irregularities during the Car-festival of Sri Kalleswaraswami at Pura in the Gubbi taluk, I have the honor to state that this temple is a Muzrai Institution having a cash grant of Rupees 7-4-0 a year and the marginally noted Inam lands, the Puja therein being conducted by the three Hissedar Archaks by turns. The irregularity complained of by the petitioners would appear from the records to be the conduct of the Rathotsavam during the year Plavanga (1907-08) by the Lingayet Archaks themselves against mamul, the mamul here as elsewhere being that the Brahmins conduct all the preliminaries incidental to the Rathotsavam &c. The villagers of Pura in their Mahazar drawn up in April 1908

Sur: No.		Extent. A. G.	Assessment. Rs: As; P.	Jodi.
Dry.	27	2 - 26	2 . 12 . 0	
"	63	3 - 28	4 . 0 . 0	
"	161	0 - 33	0 . 12 . 0	
"	174	4 - 1	4 . 0 . 0	20-0-0
"	179	1 - 23	1 . 8 . 0	
"	186	1 - 2	1 . 0 . 0	
Wet	5	1 - 9	4 . 8 . 0	
"	25	6 - 37	35 . 0 . 0	
	Total	21 - 39	53 . 8 . 0	

1 that the presence of the Brahmins from Nittur, a
at a distance of about a mile from Pura, was not secured
count of Plague at the place during the Rathotsavam and
t at there was no such mamul also; whereas, on the other hand,
the Archaks in their statements before the Amildar admitted
that their conducting the Rathotsavam without the Brahmins tak-
ing part in it at the first instance was a mistake and begged
to be excused. Under these circumstances, the Sub-Divisional
Officer, Gubbi, recommends that the Archaks, who unanimously
conducted the Car-festival on their own responsibility against
the practice that obtained during such occasions in previous
years, may be fined Rupees 10/- each as a sort of penalty in
order to meet the expenses of Samprokshane.

A notification regarding the appointment of the Dharma-
darsis to this minor institution as recommended by the Sub-
Divisional Officer will issue separately if found necessary.

The file of vernacular records accompany for reference.
The enclosure received with the Memo under reply is reported
to have been mislaid in the Taluk Office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner.

TBM.

SC a.

No 2397 — Ex. 2 dt 24 - 3 - 1911

Mun 166-07-4.

[illegible]

[illegible]

1908 [illegible]

3827/20.3.08, 3961/28.3.08.

1240/3.4.09, 1821/30.7.10 &

1016/2.12.10.

[illegible]